Sek Ying Chair

Elizabeth Papathanassoglou

EDITORIAL

Elizabeth Papathanassoglou, Sek Ying Chair

Expanding the paradigm of critical illness outcomes through patient-centredness

Critical illness is an infinitely complex condition. Treatment approaches have evolved over the last two decades along with our understanding of disease processes and our conceptualization of pathophysiology. Traditionally, critical illness outcomes have included crude indices of disease progression, such as fatality, length of ICU stay, rates of sepsis and of organ-specific complications and measures of organ dysfunction such as severity scoring systems. Recently, a different set of outcomes is emerging, which is more patient- than disease-centered (Jakimowicz & Perry, 2015). These include pain, delirium, patient satisfaction, quality of end-of-life care and longer term outcomes such as the ICU syndrome, post-traumatic stress symptomatology, functional outcomes and quality of life. These expanded outcomes now begin to drive treatment options, especially since the realization that not all outcomes, such as pain, agitation and delirium, are adequately responsive to pharmacological and technological means alone (Barr et al., 2013).

Patient-centeredness emerges as the new imperative in critical care (Cabrin et al., 2015). Patients' needs and perspectives are central to this paradigm, shaping a new set priorities of care which include: respect, patient and family involvement, exploring patient's needs, and communication (Jennings et al., 2005). However, elucidating critically ill patients' needs is challenging, mainly due to communication limitations and the dearth of relevant research. Studies consistently report high levels of stress, fear and related adverse emotions; whereas qualitative studies, clearly depict experiences of struggle, fear and chaos (Palesjo et al., 2015; Granberg et al., 1998; Papathanassoglou & Patiraki, 2003). High levels of stress appear to be a constant in the critical illness trajectory; however, stress-specific research in critical care is lagging. Few studies have addressed associations between critically ill patients' stress and physiological and psychological outcomes (Mpouzika et al., 2013). It is clear that if stress responses are to be addressed as relevant critical illness outcomes, new approaches to measurement need to be investigated. What also needs to be taken into account is that stress responses are prominent among critical care health professionals, as well. These typically associate with the intense work conditions and suffering (Moss et al., 2016) but also with health care professionals' ability to make meaningful and ethical decisions regarding patient care (Henrich et al., 2016). As summarized in this issue by Haikali and colleagues, critical care nurses' moral distress may impact the quality of care; however, the association between nurses' distress and patients' outcomes has not been adequately studied. Moreover, measurement and cultural variation issues persist.

Realization of families' role on critical illness outcomes has been steadily increasing, as well (Page, 2015); and research on family-related critical illness outcomes has been slowly expanding. Furthermore, evidence that patients' outcomes are sensitive not only to health care professionals' stress responses, but also to interdisciplinary collaboration, calls for an even greater expansion of our current paradigm to include health care professionals' collaboration, satisfaction and clinical decision making outcomes as well (Irwin et al., 2012).

In conclusion, the goals and processes of critical care continue to evolve as our views of what really matters with regard to critical illness outcomes

EDITORS

Sek Ying Chair (Hong Kong), E-mail: sychair@cuhk.edu.hk
Elizabeth Papathanassoglou (Canada), E-mail: papathan@ualberta.ca

EMERITUS EDITOR

Paul Fulbrook (Australia), E-mail: paul.fulbrook@acu.edu.au

The World of Critical Care Nursing

2016 Volume 10 Number 2

Contents

WFCCN/ACCCN World Congress, Brisbane Australia, April 2016: abstracts

World Federation of Critical Care Nurses, Australian College of Critical Care Nurses.....18

Validation of the Greek version of the Revised Moral Distress Scale in critical care nurses

Stella Haikali, Maria NK Karanikola, Giannakopoulou Margarita, Mpouzika DA Meropi, Chrysoula Lemonidou, Elisabeth Patiraki, Elizabeth DE Papathanassoglou.....72

Connect: The World of Critical Care Nursing is the official journal of the **World Federation of Critical Care Nurses** and is published in association with the **European federation of Critical Care Nursing associations** and the **Latin American Federation of Intensive Care Nurses**.

expands to include a more holistic perspective which encompasses patients', families' and clinicians' views. These are clearly reflected in the nursing topics presented at the 12th Congress of the World Federation of Critical Care Nurses in Brisbane, Australia, included in this issue. Recently, this has been mirrored in research efforts that engage patients and families at all stages of research from the inception of research priorities to study conduct and analysis (Gill et al., 2016). These mark an exciting but challenging transition from disease- to person-centered critical care. Rigorous and innovative approaches to study design, measurement and analysis are called for. Critical care nursing research has to play an integral role in this transition.

References

- Barr J, Fraser GL, Puntillo K, et al. (2013). Clinical practice guidelines for the management of pain, agitation, and delirium in adult patients in the intensive care unit. *Critical Care Medicine* 41(1), 263-306.
- Cabrin L, Landoni G, Antonelli, et al. (2015). Critical care in the near future: patient-centered, beyond space and time boundaries. *Minerva Anestesiologica*. [Epub ahead of print.]
- Gill M, Bagshaw SM, McKenzie E, et al. (2016). Patient and Family Member-Led Research in the Intensive Care Unit: A Novel Approach to Patient-Centered Research. *PLoS One* 11(8), e0160947.
- Granberg A, Bergbom Engberg I, Lundberg D (1998). Patients' experience of being critically ill or severely injured and cared for in an intensive care unit in relation to the ICU syndrome. Part I. *Intensive Critical Care Nursing* 14(6), 294-307.
- Henrich NJ, Dodek PM, Alden L, et al. (2016). Causes of moral distress in the intensive care unit: A qualitative study. *Journal of Critical Care* 35, 57-62.
- Irwin RS, Flaherty HM, French CT, et al. (2012). Interdisciplinary collaboration: the slogan that must be achieved for models of delivering critical care to be successful. *Chest* 142(6), 1611-1619.
- Jakimowicz S, Perry L (2015). A concept analysis of patient-centred nursing in the intensive care unit. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 71(7), 1499-1517.
- Jennings BM, Heiner SL, Loan LA, et al. (2005). What really matters to healthcare consumers. *The Journal of Nursing Administration* 35(4), 173-180.
- Moss M, Good VS, Gozal D, Kleinpell R, Sessler CN (2016). An Official Critical Care Societies Collaborative Statement-Burnout Syndrome in Critical Care Health-care Professionals: A Call for Action. *Chest* 150(1), 17-26.
- Mpozika MD, Papathanassoglou ED, Giannakopoulou M, et al. (2013). Altered serum stress neuropeptide levels in critically ill individuals and associations with lymphocyte populations. *Neuropeptides* 47(1), 25-36.
- Page P (2016). Critical illness trajectory for patients, families and nurses - a literature review. *Nursing in Critical Care* 21(4), 195-205.
- Palesjö C, Nordgren L, Asp M (2015). Being in a critical illness-recovery process: a phenomenological hermeneutical study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 24(23-24), 3494-502.
- Papathanassoglou ED, Patiraki EI (2003). Transformations of self: a phenomenological investigation into the lived experience of survivors of critical illness. *Nursing in Critical Care* 8(1), 13-21.

Disclaimer - All rights reserved; no part of this publication may be reproduced, electrical, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise without prior written permission from the publisher. The publishers, editors and editorial board wish to make it clear that the data, opinions and statements appearing in the articles herein are those of the contributor(s) concerned; such opinions are not necessarily shared by the editor or the editorial board. Accordingly, the publishers, editors and editorial board and their respective employees, officers and agents accept no liability for the consequences of any such inaccurate or misleading data, opinions or statements.

ISSN 1748-6254